12-30 P.M.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—What was the stage of the acquisition proceedings when the Divisional Commissioner was asked to go and inspect the spot and give his opinion ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—As far as I am aware no acquisition proceedings were in progress.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—When there are two opinions, one to acquire and one not to acquire, why does the Government say it is not necessary to inspect the site locally ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Sir, I made it quite clear that in such matters, normally, the Government does not interfere. It is the choice of the concerned Block Advisory Committee and the officer to select a suitable site. Therefore, I do not think it is necessary. If the Government feel it necessary, they may further examine the matter.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I want to know at whose instance the Divisional Commissioner has to go and inspect these sites.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I do not know at whose instance. But perhaps he thought it necessary because there were two or three alternative propositions. He, therefore, thought it necessary to go and inspect them personally.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Was there any appeal by the Land-owner to the Divisional Commissioner in this regard ?

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I am not aware.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I bring to the notice of the Hon'ble Speaker that it would be better if the Chief Minister who is present here, answers so that we may get satisfactory answers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no power to compel the Chief Minister to reply. In fact, if the Chief Minister were to reply, I would have no objection. But if he refuses to reply what can I do ?

Equation of Posts of District Panchayat Officers.

Q.—2799. Sri M. M. J. SADYOJATHAPPIAH (Kottur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether they will take immediate steps to equate the District Panchayat Officers of South Kanara and Bellary with those of Gulbarga, Bidar and Raichur ?

A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

The matter has been taken up and service particulars are being collected.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಜೆ. ಸದ್ಯೋಜಾತಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.—ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಆಫೀಸರ ಕೆಲಸವೇನು ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಜೆ. ಸದ್ಯೋಜಾತಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಹುದ್ದೆ ಇದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಹುದ್ದೆ ಎಲ್ಲಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Is it the intention of the Government to continue these posts of district panchayet officers ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH.—That question will be examined when we take up the introduction of a new uniform law.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—How soon will they be able to do the equation of posts ?

Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH.—Equation has been done just recently.

Output of Gold in Hatti Gold Mines.

Q.—2850. Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY (Chickanayakanahalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the output of gold in Hatti gold mines in the year 1956-57 and in the year 1957-58 upto October end of the year 1957 ;

(*b*) the realisation by sale of gold ;

(*c*) the net profits for the year 1956-57 and upto October 1957 in the year 1957-58 ?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(*a*) (*i*) Output of Gold for the year ending 30th September 1957 is 16,139·672 fine ounces.

(*ii*) Output for the month of October 1957 is 1,218·21 fine ounces.

(*b*) The realisation from sale of Gold for the year ending 30th September 1957 was Rs. 45,90,294·60.

(*c*) The accounts for the year ended 30th September 1957 are under audit and the results can be known on completion of the audit. During the month of October 1957 there was an estimated loss of Rs. 34,000 approximately.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—In question (*a*), it should be 'in the year 1957-58 upto October end of the year 1958'. I do not know how it came to '1957' again. Here in the answer, output of gold for the year ending 30th September 1957 and also for the month of October 1957 has been given. I do not know why this question has been answered like this.

*Sri C. M. POONACHA.-The Hon'ble Member wanted details and they are given. Actually we have audited the accounts and these figures have been obtained from the Statement of Audited Accounts for that year.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—I wanted to know the amount of gold output for the complete year 1956-57. But you have replied for the year ending 30th September 1957. I wanted up to March 1957.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Their year ends on 30th September 1957.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—What is the royalty charged by the State Government on this?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I do not have that information, Sir. I will get it.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—What is the Government's share?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I have answered that earlier. Now the present Andhra Pradesh Government holds 80 per cent of the shares. The final transfer of the assets and liabilities between the Government of Andhra and Mysore in this regard has not yet been finalised.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is this loss continuous for the last four or five years?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I have answered earlier that there is an accumulated loss of about Rs. 16 lakhs.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—The Minister was pleased to say that 80 per cent of the shares are held by Andhra Government. Is the Andhra Government realising the profits or have they been assigned to Mysore?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—No, Sir, it is the Company making the profit. According to the terms, they get only royalty. According to the final allocation of assets and liabilities, the accumulated profits to that extent that are due to the Government will be finally settled. The matter is still under negotiation.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—So far 80 per cent of the shares of the Gold Mining Company of Hatti does not belong to the Mysore State; am I correct?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I am not prepared to give a straight answer at the moment. The matters are under negotiation between the two Governments.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Can I draw the attention of the Minister that on a previous occasion he has answered that the State of Mysore owns 80 per cent of the shares?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I do not know whether that information came out from me. I was saying the Government's interest was to that extent and it now stands in the name of Andhra Government pending final allocation of the assets.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—It is stated that the accounts for the year ended 30th September are under audit and the results can be known on completion of the audit, and during the month of October 1957 there was an estimated loss of Rs. 34,000 approximately. If the latter part of the year's accounts are audited and figures given here, cannot the figures of the former part of the year be known?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—It is an estimated amount. An estimated amount can be given at any time.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Are not the accounts audited for the year 1957? This question was put in the month of December and the answer is now supplied in the month of March. What is the reason for not having completed the audit of the accounts?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Are the accounts ready now?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Yes, for the year ending 1957 the accounts are ready.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—May I know the loss?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—The figures for the year ending 30th September 1957 are not available as yet.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What about 1956?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—The profit is about Rs. 15 lakhs.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In regard to the question of transfer of assets and liabilities, you have made provision of 17 lakhs under the current year's budget; what for is this meant?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is for the developmental programmes and capital expenditure invested.

Special Conveyance to Traffic Police

Q.—2875. Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO (Mysore City South).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the special conveyances like cars, motor cycles provided to the traffic police;

(*b*) the category of officers for whom such vehicles are provided;

(*c*) the special considerations, if any, for providing this amenity to a traffic policeman of lower rank?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(*a*) No special conveyances like cars, motor-cycles, etc., have been provided to the Traffic Police in general.

(*b*) Only one Traffic Head Constable in Mysore City is provided with a motor cycle.

(*c*) In view of the good work done by Traffic Head Constable, Sri Kuttappa, for checking overloaded buses, the motor cycle was ordered to be provided, to facilitate the discharge of his official duties more efficiently. Any other police officer deputed to attend to urgent work is also entitled to use it.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—In the answer to (*b*) it is said that only one Traffic Head-Constable in Mysore has been provided with a motor-cycle. Are there not equally competent or equally honest police officers elsewhere in the State other than this person?

*Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Sir, this facility for this Traffic Sergeant was extended some time in the year 1954. Subsequent to that the necessity was not felt. If it is absolutely necessary, action will be taken to provide necessary vehicle conveniences to other officers also.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Sir, are there not other officers of similar rank or higher rank doing the job elsewhere in the Stase?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—It is true Sir; there are other officers also doing the same kind of work. But, as per information I have, it was once said that there were too many accidents happening in this sector. In view of that, this officer was provided with a motor vehicle to exercise better vigilance and subsequently, my information is, accidents in this area have been very much minimised.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—What is the number of accidents that took place in that area as compared to Bangalore area?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—I will have to get these details.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Will the Minister consider the question of providing such amenity to Traffic Sergeants doing similar duties elsewhere in the State?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—That is under consideration: but, finance is the only problem. We want to buy some motor cycles for Traffic Sergeants and the matter is still under consideration.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—May I know under which Government Sri Kuttappa was employed before integration?